## PRACTICE EXAM

CSC2532 WINTER 2024 University of Toronto

Name:

Student #:

Exam duration: 110 minutes

Please check that your exam has 5 pages, including this one. The total possible number of points is 100.

Read the following instructions carefully:

- 1. Exam is closed book and internet. You can use an optional A4 aid sheet double-sided.
- 2. You must show your work to receive full credit.
- 3. The following is standard across all questions: We have a dataset of n samples  $(x_i, y_i) \sim p(x, y)$  for i = 1, 2, ..., n, and

$$\hat{f} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \hat{R}(f) \coloneqq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell((y_i, x_i), f) \quad \text{and} \ f_* \coloneqq \operatorname*{argmin}_{f \in \mathcal{F}} R(f) = \mathbb{E}[\ell((y, x), f)],$$

where  $\ell$  is a loss function.

4. Enjoy the problems!!!

## 1. Warm-up: Rademacher Complexity and VC Dimension - 25pts.

1.1. Convex-hull - 5pts. Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, f_2, ..., f_m\}$  be a finite set of functions. X-hull of  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined as

(1.1) 
$$X-hull(\mathcal{F}) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i f_i : \text{ where } \alpha_i \ge 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i = 4 \right\}.$$

Show that  $\mathfrak{R}_n(X-\operatorname{hull}(\mathcal{F})) = 4\mathfrak{R}_n(\mathcal{F}).$ 

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \chi-\mathrm{ull} &=& 4\cdot\mathrm{Convex}-\mathrm{hull}\\ \mathrm{R}_{n}\left(\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{hull}\left(\mathcal{F}\right)\right) &=& \mathrm{R}_{n}\left(\mathrm{Cvx}-\mathrm{hull}\left(\mathcal{F}\right)\times4\right)\\ &=& \mathrm{R}_{n}\left(\mathcal{F}\right)\times4. \end{array}$$

1.2. VC-dimension - 5pts. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the class of indicators of sets of the form  $[a, b] \cup [c, d]$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Find the VC dimension of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

1.3. Kernels - 5pts. For an interval, x = [a, b], define its length as len(x) = b - a. Show that the following is a kernel  $k(x, x') = \operatorname{len}(x \cap x') + \operatorname{len}(x)\operatorname{len}(x')$  Here, intersection of intervals is an interval or the empty set (which has length 0). ^

$$k_{1} = ler(x) ler(x') \quad is \quad \alpha \quad kenell \quad (x \rightarrow lenx \quad is \quad a \quad feature rep)$$

$$k_{2} = \int \int \frac{1}{x} \frac{(u)}{r_{2}} \frac{1}{r_{2}} \frac{(u)}{r_{2}} du = j \quad inner \quad product = j \quad kennel$$

$$= j \quad k_{1} + k_{2} \quad is \quad a \quad kenul.$$

1

1.4. Representer- 10pts. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an RKHS and k be the associated kernel. For  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  iid from a distribution p, let  $\hat{f} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k(\cdot, x_i)$  and  $f^* = \mathbb{E}[k(\cdot, x_1)]$ , and  $D \coloneqq \|\hat{f} - f^*\|_{\mathcal{F}}$ . Let  $\hat{f}'$  and D' be defined similarly over  $x'_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  (only  $x_1$  is different). 1- Prove that  $D - D' \leq 2 \sup_x \sqrt{k(x, x)}/n$ . 2- Show  $\mathbb{E}[D] \leq \sup_x \sqrt{k(x, x)/n}$ .

$$1 - D \text{ is a norm } = ) \quad D - D' = \| \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}(\cdot, \mathbb{E}_{1}) - \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}(\cdot, \mathbb{E}_{1}) \|_{F} = \frac{1}{n} \| \mathbb{E}(\cdot, \mathbb{E}_{1}) - \mathbb{E}(\cdot, \mathbb{E}_{1}) \|_{F}$$

$$2 - \mathbb{E} D \in \mathbb{E}[D^{n} f^{n} (|\mathbb{J}_{num})] = \frac{1}{n} \int \| \mathbb{E}(\cdot, \mathbb{E}_{1}) \|_{F} + \| \mathbb{E}(\cdot, \mathbb{E}_{1}) \|_{F}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\| \mathbb{E}[\| \mathbb{E}[-f \times \|]_{F}]^{n} = \frac{2}{n} \sup_{\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[|\mathbb{E}[-f \times \|]_{F}]^{n}} |\mathbb{E}[\| \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[-f \times \|]_{F}]^{n}] + \mathbb{E}[\| \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[-f \times \|]_{F}]^{n}] + \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[-f \times \|]_{F}]^{n}] + \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[-f \times \|]_{F}]^{n}] + \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[-f \times \|]_{F}]^{n}] + \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[-f \times \|]_{F}]^{n}] + \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[-f \times \|]_{F}]^{n}] + \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[-f \times \|]_{F}]^{n}] + \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[-f \times \|]_{F}]^{n}] + \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[-f \times \|]_{F}]^{n}] + \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[-f \times \|]_{F}]^{n}] + \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[-f \times \|]_{F}]^{n}] + \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[-f \times \|]_{F}]^{n}] + \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[-f \times \|]_{F}]^{n} + \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[-f \times \|]_{F}]^{n}] + \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[-f \times \|]_{F}]^{n} + \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[-f \times \|]_{F}]^{n} + \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[-f \times \|]_{F}]^{n} + \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[-f \times \|]_{F}]^{n} + \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[-f \times \|]_{F}]^{n} + \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[-f \times \|]_{F}]^{n} + \mathbb{E}[-f \times \|]_{F}]^{n} + \mathbb{E}[-f \times \|]_{F}]^{n} + \mathbb{E}[-f \times \|]_{F}^{n} + \mathbb{E}[-f \times \|]_{F}]^{n} + \mathbb{E}[-f \times \|]_{F}]^$$

$$= \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \mathbb{E} \left\| l_{k}(x_{i}, \cdot) - f_{x} \right\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{2} \right)^{l_{n}} = \left( \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \left\| l_{k}(x_{i}, \cdot) - f_{x} \right\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{2} \right)^{l_{n}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| l_{k}(x_{i}, \cdot) \right\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{2} - \left\| f_{x} \right\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{2} \right]^{l_{n}} \leq \frac{1}{n} \sup_{x} \left( l_{x}(x_{i}, \cdot) \right)^{l_{n}}$$

2. Expected Excess Risk - 25pts. In class, we mostly focused on giving generalization guarantees in high probability. For example, we showed that, with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ , excess risk satisfies

(2.1)  $R(\hat{f}) - R(f_*) \le 4\Re_n(\mathcal{G}) + \sqrt{\frac{2\log(1/\delta)}{n}},$ 

where  $\mathcal{G} = \{(y, x) \to \ell((y, x), f) : \forall f \in \mathcal{F}\}.$ 

R

In this question, we will prove a generalization bound *in expectation*. Steps are essentially the same, though proof is simplified.

1. [10pts] Show that expected excess risk can be upper bounded by the supremum of the empirical process. E.g., show

$$(2.2) \qquad \mathbb{E}\left[R(\hat{f}) - R(f_*)\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \hat{R}(f) - R(f)\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} R(f) - \hat{R}(f)\right].$$

$$(\hat{f}) - \mathcal{R}(f_*) = \mathcal{R}(\hat{f}) - \hat{\mathcal{R}}(\hat{f}) + \hat{\mathcal{R}}(\hat{f}) - \hat{\mathcal{R}}(f_*) + \hat{\mathcal{L}}(f_*) - \mathcal{R}(f_*)$$

$$\leq \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \hat{\mathcal{R}}(f) - \mathcal{R}(f) + \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \mathcal{R}(f) - \hat{\mathcal{R}}(f)$$

- 2. [10pts] Show that the right hand side of the above inequality can be upper bounded with Rademacher complexity of  $\mathcal{G}$ .
  - In lecture, we proved  $E \operatorname{sup} \hat{R}(f) R(f) \leq 2R(q)$ using symmetrometere.

Toke expectations

3. [5pts] Finally, conclude that the expected excess risk can be upper bounded by the Rademacher complexity of  $\mathcal{G}$  times a constant which you should compute explicitly. Which crucial assumption on loss is missing, and why?

=) 
$$\mathbb{E}[R(f) - R(f_{2})] \ge 4R(g)$$
  
We dart need lags to be bodd sine  
3 concentration argument.

**3.** KL and Identifiability - 25 pts. Given two probability distributions p(x) and q(x) fully supported on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  (p(x) > 0 and q(x) > 0 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ), KL divergence is defined as

(3.1) 
$$\operatorname{KL}(p||q) = \mathbb{E}_p\left[\log\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}\right] = \int p(x)\log\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}dx$$

KL divergence is not a metric since it doesn't satisfy triangle inequality. However, it has nice properties, and it provides a distance measure between two distributions. One property is the following:

3.1. KL property - 10pts. Show that  $\operatorname{KL}(p||q) = 0$  if and only if p = q. Hint: Jensen's inequality says if  $\phi$  is convex, then  $\mathbb{E}[\phi(x)] \ge \phi(\mathbb{E}[x])$  with equality if and only if x is constant or  $\phi$  is linear.

3.2. Identifiability in parametric families - 15pts. Consider the parameteric family where

$$y|x \sim p_{\theta_*}(y|x)$$
 and  $x \sim p(x)$ ,

with  $\theta_* \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is the true parameter. Under the identifiability assumption that  $\theta \neq \theta'$  implies  $p_{\theta} \neq p_{\theta'}$ , show that the true parameter is the unique global minimizer of the population risk in the MLE setup where the loss is  $\ell(\theta, (y, x)) = -\log p_{\theta}(y|x)$ , i.e. prove

(3.2) 
$$\theta_* = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^m} R(\theta) \coloneqq \mathbb{E}[-\log p_{\theta}(y|x)]$$

×

where expectation is over the true distribution  $(x, y) \sim p_{\theta_*}(y|x)p(x)$ . Hint: Consider the quantity  $R(\theta) - R(\theta_*)$  for  $\theta \neq \theta_*$ .

$$\begin{array}{l}
\Theta \neq \Theta^{\dagger} = \stackrel{}{\rightarrow} \stackrel{}{\beta_{\Theta}} \neq \stackrel{}{\beta_{\Theta}} \stackrel{}{\phantom{\beta_{\Theta}}} \\
R(\Theta) - R(\Theta_{\infty}) = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\Theta_{\infty}} - \underset{\Theta_{\infty}}{} - \underset{\Theta_{\infty}}{} \underset{\Theta_{\infty}}{} + \underset{\Theta_{\infty}}{} \underset{\Theta_{\infty}}{} \underset{(Y|\mathcal{X})}{} + \underset{\Theta_{\infty}}{} \underset{\Theta_{\infty}}{} \underset{(Y|\mathcal{X})}{} = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{X}} \underbrace{KL(\mathcal{P}_{\Theta_{\infty}}(\cdot|\mathcal{X}) || \mathcal{P}_{\Theta_{\infty}}(\cdot|\mathcal{X})}_{} \\
= \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{X}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\Theta_{\infty}} - \underset{\Theta_{\infty}}{} \underset{\Theta_{\infty}}{} \underset{(Y|\mathcal{X})}{} = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{X}} \underbrace{KL(\mathcal{P}_{\Theta_{\infty}}(\cdot|\mathcal{X}) || \mathcal{P}_{\Theta_{\infty}}(\cdot|\mathcal{X})}_{} \\
= \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{X}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\Theta_{\infty}} - \underset{\Theta_{\infty}}{} \underset{(Y|\mathcal{X})}{} = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{X}} \underbrace{KL(\mathcal{P}_{\Theta_{\infty}}(\cdot|\mathcal{X}) || \mathcal{P}_{\Theta_{\infty}}(\cdot|\mathcal{X})}_{} \\
= \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{X}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\Theta_{\infty}} - \underset{\Theta_{\infty}}{} \underset{(Y|\mathcal{X})}{} = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{X}} \underbrace{KL(\mathcal{P}_{\Theta_{\infty}}(\cdot|\mathcal{X}) || \mathcal{P}_{\Theta_{\infty}}(\cdot|\mathcal{X})}_{} \\
= \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{X}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\Theta_{\infty}} - \underset{\Theta_{\infty}}{} \underset{\Theta_{\infty}}{} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\Theta_{\infty}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\Theta_{\infty}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\Theta_{\infty}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\Theta_{\infty}} \\
= \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{X}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\Theta_{\infty}} - \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\Theta_{\infty}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\Theta_{\infty}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\Theta_{\infty}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\Theta_{\infty}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\Theta_{\infty}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\Theta_{\infty}} \\
= \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{X}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\Theta_{\infty}} - \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\Theta_{\infty}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\Theta_{\infty}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\Theta_{\infty}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\Theta_{\infty}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\Theta_{\infty}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\Theta_{\infty}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\Theta_{\infty}} \\
= \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{X}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\Theta_{\infty}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\Theta_{\infty$$

4. Countable Function Class - 25 pts. Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, f_2, ...\}$  be a countable set of functions with infinite size  $|\mathcal{F}| = \infty$ , and loss evaluated for each function satisfies

$$0 \le \ell((x, y), f_i) \le \frac{B}{i^{\beta}},$$

for some  $\beta > 0$ , a bound decaying with function's index.

For what values of  $\beta$  does this class achieve generalization? In your bounds, you should compute all constants explicitly.

Need 
$$\sum_{i} P(|\hat{R}(f) - R(f)| \ge \varepsilon) < \infty$$
. (Ist Leetwe)  
 $f \in \mathcal{F}$   
 $\leq \sum_{i} e^{-n\varepsilon^{2}} / \frac{B^{2}}{i^{2P}} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{n\varepsilon^{2}}{B^{2}}} \cdot i^{2\beta}$   
 $= \sum_{i} \rho^{i} \frac{2\beta}{\xi} \leq \sum_{i} \rho^{i} f \text{for } \beta \ge \frac{1}{2}$   
 $\leq \infty$   
 $\int D_{oregint} sony wheat$   
 $hoppens for  $\beta < \frac{1}{2}$ .$