# CSC 412/2506: Probabilistic Learning and Reasoning Week 13 : Final Exam Review

Murat A. Erdogdu

University of Toronto

# Final exam logistic

- Final exam will be held in person on April 18, at 19-22 Toronto local time in room EX 310 (A-DE) and EX 320 (DEN-Z) (all sections).
- Exams will be 100 points in total and 180 mins long. Students are required to be at the exam location at least 10 mins early, with valid identification. Exam will be administered by FAS.
- You can use two optional A4 aid sheets double-sided.
- Exam covers all lectures (weeks 1-12), it is closed book/internet.
- You are not responsible for the concepts introduced **only** in suggested readings/tutorials. However, practicing those would give you a significant advantage.
- A representative practice exam is posted on the webpage.

The final exam will be on the entire course; however, it will be more weighted towards post-midterm material. For pre-midterm material, refer to the midterm review slides on the website.

- Exponential families
- Directed Graphical Models
- Markov Random Fields
- Message passing
- Belief propagation
- Variable eliminitaion
- Sampling methods
- Markov chain Monte Carlo

- Variational Inference
- EM algorithm
- Probabilistic PCA
- Bayesian regression
- Variational Autoencoders
- Kernel methods
- Gaussian processes
- Diffusion models

# Week 8-9: KL divergence

We will measure the difference between q and p using the **Kullback-Leibler divergence** 

$$KL(q(z)||p(z)) = \int q(z) \log \frac{q(z)}{p(z)} dz$$
  
or 
$$= \sum_{z} q(z) \log \frac{q(z)}{p(z)}$$

Properties of the KL Divergence

- $KL(q||p) \ge 0$
- $KL(q||p) = 0 \Leftrightarrow q = p$
- $KL(q||p) \neq KL(p||q)$
- KL divergence is not a metric, since it's not symmetric

# Week 8-9: I & M Projection

- I-projection:  $q^* = \arg \min_{q \in Q} KL(q||p) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim q(x)} \log \frac{q(x)}{p(x)}$ :
  - ▶  $p \approx q \implies KL(q||p)$  small
  - I-projection underestimates support, and does not yield the correct moments.
  - KL(q||p) penalizes q having mass where p has none.

• M-projection: 
$$q^* = \arg \min_{q \in Q} KL(p||q) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p(x)} \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)}$$
:

- ▶  $p \approx q \implies KL(p||q)$  small
- KL(p||q) penalizes q missing mass where p has some.
- M-projection yields a distribution q(x) with the correct mean and covariance.
- One way to proceed is the mean-field approach where we assume:

$$q(x) = \prod_{i \in V} q_i(x_i)$$

the set Q is composed of those distributions that factor out.

### Week 9: Evidence Lower Bound

ELBO is a lower bound on the (log) evidence. Maximizing the ELBO is the same as minimizing  $KL(q_{\phi}(z)||p(z|x))$ .

$$KL(q_{\phi}(z)||p(z|x)) = \underset{z \sim q_{\phi}}{\mathbb{E}} \log \frac{q_{\phi}(z)}{p(z|x)}$$
$$= \underset{z \sim q_{\phi}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \log \left( q_{\phi}(z) \cdot \frac{p(x)}{p(z,x)} \right) \right]$$
$$= \underset{z \sim q_{\phi}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \log \frac{q_{\phi}(z)}{p(z,x)} \right] + \underset{z \sim q_{\phi}}{\mathbb{E}} \log p(x)$$
$$:= -\mathcal{L}(\phi) + \log p(x)$$

Where  $\mathcal{L}(\phi)$  is the **ELBO**:

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi) = \mathbb{E}_{z \sim q_{\phi}} \Big[ \log p(z, x) - \log q_{\phi}(z) \Big]$$

Prob Learning (UofT)

CSC412-Week 13

• Rearranging, we get

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi) + KL(q_{\phi}(z)||p(z|x)) = \log p(x)$$

• Because  $KL(q_{\phi}(z)||p(z|x)) \ge 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi) \leq \log p(x)$$

• maximizing the ELBO  $\Rightarrow$  minimizing  $KL(q_{\phi}(z)||p(z|x))$ .

# Week 9: EM Algorithm

- In practice, we are not given a complete dataset {X, Z}, but only incomplete dataset {X}.
- Our knowledge about the latent variables is given only by the posterior distribution  $p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}, \theta)$ .
- Because we cannot use the complete data log-likelihood, we can consider expected complete-data log-likelihood:

$$\mathcal{Q}(\theta, \theta^{old}) = \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} p(\mathbf{Z} | \mathbf{X}, \theta^{old}) \log p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z} | \theta)$$

- In the E-step, we use the current parameters  $\theta^{old}$  to compute the posterior over the latent variables  $p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}, \theta^{old})$ .
- In the M-step, we find the revised parameter estimate  $\theta$  new by maximizing the expected complete log-likelihood:

$$\theta^{new} = \arg \max_{\theta} \mathcal{Q}(\theta, \theta^{old})$$

Prob Learning (UofT)

### Week 9: EM Algorithm

- Given a joint distribution  $p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}|\theta)$  over observed and latent variables, the goal is to maximize the likelihood function  $p(\mathbf{X}|\theta)$  with respect to  $\theta$ .
- Initialize parameters  $\theta^{old}$
- E-step: Compute the posterior  $p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}, \theta^{old})$  and  $\mathcal{Q}(\theta, \theta^{old})$
- **M-step:** Find the new estimate of parameters  $\theta^{new}$ :

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{new} = \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{old})$$

where

$$Q(\theta, \theta^{old}) = \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}, \theta^{old}) \log p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}|\theta).$$

### Week 10: Probabilistic PCA

• Similar to the Gaussian mixture model, we assume continuous, Gaussian latent variables:

$$\mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$$
$$\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{z} + \boldsymbol{\mu}, \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I})$$



### Week 10: Probabilistic PCA - Maximum Likelihood

•  $p(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{W}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \sigma^2)$  will be Gaussian (confirm this), so we just need

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{W}\mathbf{z} + \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}] = \boldsymbol{\mu}$$
$$\operatorname{Cov}[\mathbf{x}] = \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{z} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon})(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{z} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon})^{\mathsf{T}}]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{W}\mathbf{z}\mathbf{z}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{W}^{\mathsf{T}}] + \operatorname{Cov}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}]$$
$$= \mathbf{W}\mathbf{W}^{\mathsf{T}} + \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}$$

Thus, the posterior mean

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{x}] = \left(\mathbf{W}^{\top}\mathbf{W} + \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}\mathbf{W}^{\top}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})$$

• To perform MLE, we need to maximize the following:

$$\max_{\mathbf{W},\boldsymbol{\mu},\sigma^{2}} \log p(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{W},\boldsymbol{\mu},\sigma^{2}) = \max_{\mathbf{W},\boldsymbol{\mu},\sigma^{2}} \log \int p(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z},\mathbf{W},\boldsymbol{\mu},\sigma^{2}) p(\mathbf{z}) \, d\mathbf{z}$$

Prob Learning (UofT)

CSC412-Week 13

### Week 10: Autoencoders

Autoencoders reconstruct their input via an encoder and a decoder.

- Encoder:  $g(x) = z \in F$ ,  $x \in X$
- Decoder:  $f(z) = \tilde{x} \in X$
- where X is the data space, and F is the feature (latent) space.
- z is the code, compressed representation of the input, x. It is important that this code is a bottleneck, i.e. that

#### $\dim F \ll \dim X$

• Goal: 
$$\tilde{x} = f(g(x)) \approx x$$
.



# Week 10: Variational Autoencoders

• The mean  $\mu$  controls where encoding of input is centered while the standard deviation controls how much can the encoding vary.



• Encodings are generated at random from the "circle", the decoder learns that all nearby points refer to the same input.



## Week 11: VAE vs Amortized VAE Pipeline

- For a given input (or minibatch)  $x_i$ ,
  - Standard VAE
  - Sample  $z_i \sim q_{\phi_i}(z|x_i) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2 I).$
- Amortized VAE
- Sample  $z_i \sim q_{\phi}(z|x_i) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_{\phi}(x_i), \Sigma_{\phi}(x_i))$
- Run the code through decoder and get likelihood:  $p_{\theta}(x|z)$ .
- Compute the loss function (-ELBO):  $L(x; \theta, \phi) = -E_{z_{\phi} \sim q_{\phi}} \left[ \log p_{\theta}(x|z) \right] + KL(q_{\phi}(z|x)||p(z))$
- Use gradient-based optimization to backpropogate  $\partial_{\theta}L,\,\partial_{\phi}L$

- Prior distribution:  $\mathbf{w} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{S})$
- Model (Likelihood):  $y | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{x}), \sigma^2)$
- Assuming fixed/known  ${\bf S}$  and  $\sigma^2.$

### Week 11: Bayesian Linear Regression: Posterior

#### • Deriving the posterior distribution:

 $\log p(\mathbf{w} \mid \mathcal{D}) = \log p(\mathbf{w}) + \log p(\mathcal{D} \mid \mathbf{w}) + \text{const}$  $= -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{w} - \frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} || \boldsymbol{\Psi} \mathbf{w} - \boldsymbol{y} ||^{2} + \text{const}$  $= -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{w} - \frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} \left( \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Psi}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Psi} \mathbf{w} - 2\boldsymbol{y}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Psi} \mathbf{w} + \boldsymbol{y}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{y} \right) + \text{const}$  $= -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \left( \sigma^{-2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Psi} + \mathbf{S}^{-1} \right) \mathbf{w} + \frac{1}{-2} \boldsymbol{y}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Psi} \mathbf{w} + \text{const} \text{ (complete the square!)}$ 

Thus  $\mathbf{w} \mid \mathcal{D} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$  where

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \sigma^{-2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{\Psi}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{y}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \left(\sigma^{-2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Psi} + \mathbf{S}^{-1}\right)^{-1}$$

### Week 12: Gaussian processes

• We have the linear model

$$y | \mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{y}(\mathbf{x}), \sigma^2) \qquad \hat{y}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{x})$$

• Given N independent observations, we have

$$\mathbf{y} | \hat{\mathbf{y}} \sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{\mathbf{y}}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_N), \qquad \hat{\mathbf{y}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{K}).$$

 $\bullet\,$  Therefore the marginal of  ${\bf y}$  is given by

$$\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{C})$$
  $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{K} + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_N$ 

where the corresponding kernel is

$$c(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, \mathbf{x}^{(j)}) = \frac{1}{\alpha}k(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, \mathbf{x}^{(j)}) + \sigma^2\delta(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, \mathbf{x}^{(j)})$$

 $\delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = 1$  if  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}'$  and  $\delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = 0$  otherwise.

### Week 12: Gaussian processes

- Denote now  $\mathbf{y}_N = (y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, ..., y^{(N)}).$
- We have the marginal of  $\mathbf{y}_N$  given by

$$\mathbf{y}_N \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{C}_N)$$
  $\mathbf{C}_N = \mathbf{K}_N + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_N.$ 

• This reflects the two Gaussian sources of randomness.

**Goal:** We want to predict for a new output  $y^{(N+1)}$  given  $\mathbf{x}^{(N+1)}$ .

• We showed: Since  $y_{N+1}$  is multivariate Gaussian,  $y^{(N+1)} | \mathbf{y}_N$  is also Gaussian with mean and covariance

$$m(\mathbf{x}^{(N+1)}) = \mathbf{k}^T \boldsymbol{C}_N^{-1} \mathbf{y}_N \qquad \sigma^2(\mathbf{x}^{(N+1)}) = c - \mathbf{k}^T \boldsymbol{C}_N^{-1} \mathbf{k}$$

## Week 12: GPs for regression



- The green curve: the true sinusoid from which the data points, shown in blue, are obtained with additional of Gaussian noise.
- The red line: mean of the Gaussian process predictive distribution.
- The shaded region: plus and minus two standard deviations.

Continuing with machine learning:

#### • Courses

- ▶ CSC 421/2516, "Neural Networks and Deep Learning"
- ▶ CSC 2515, "Machine Learning"
- ▶ CSC 2532, "Statistical Learning Theory"
- ▶ CSC 2541, "Neural Network Training Dynamics"
- ▶ Topics courses (varies from year to year): Reinforcement Learning, Algorithmic Fairness, Computer Vision w/ ML, NLP w/ ML, Health w/ ML etc.
- Learn Statistics!
- Videos from top ML conferences (NeurIPS, ICML, ICLR)
- Try to reproduce results from papers
  - ▶ If they've released code, you can use that as a guide if you get stuck.
- Lots of excellent free resources available online!

- Review lectures.
- Understand **derivations**.
- Solve the practice final.
- Fill out course evaluations!
  - if you want to fully submit A3 on crowdmak.
- Thanks!